

## Major Fundamentalist Influences

	<b>New Image (Progressive)</b>	<b>Pragmatist</b>	<b>Confessionalist</b>	<b>Imperialist</b>	<b>Extremist (Extra-Fndsm)</b>
<b>Central Concern</b>	Cultural influence; rapprochement with evangelicals	Soul-winning, defined in terms of numbers of professions	Doctrine, both fundamentals and comprehensive systems of faith	Empire-building; dominated by “Doc” (Doc doesn’t need a name)	Quirks: Bible Versions Personalities Politics Practices
<b>Theological Bias</b>	Various: the main difference with other fundamentalists is in attitude	Decisionism; anthropology of Charles Finney	Denominational, frequently (not always) Calvinistic	Major on fundamentals and whatever is important to Doc	Various traditions, but all bent by the quirk
<b>Preaching</b>	Topical, often political, influence of social sciences	Geared toward crisis decisions; evangelistic; often “hard preaching” at backsliders; often prophetic	Biblical explanation and application; aims for incremental change	Topical, issue-oriented, reinforces Doc’s rules, AKA “the standards”	Sometimes expository, often topical, tendency to focus on the quirk
<b>Education</b>	Prize education; seminary for ministers; university or liberal arts college for others	Value practical training in institutes or Bible colleges, but contempt for “ejemuckation”	Encourage seminary for ministers; affinity for Bible colleges but state universities accepted	Insular and ingrown: outsiders are not to be trusted, especially for theological education	Mixed: may value education, but the quirk must be promoted in the educational process
<b>Spirituality</b>	Varied	Revivalist: bipolar swings hinging upon backsliding and crisis decisions	Emphasis on progressive sanctification using the Dallas or Reformed models	Evaluate spirituality by conformity to group (Doc’s) rules; submission to authority	Different views of sanctification can be overlooked in favor of unity on the quirk
<b>Personal standards</b> (nb: generally, fundamentalists work from two sets of traditional taboos, a Northern and a Southern)	Rejection of many traditional taboos	Strict (Southern) traditional taboos are upheld, but soul-winning covers a multitude of sins	Re-examining traditional taboos to determine biblical basis	Enforcement of those taboos that Doc doesn’t plan to break	The recognized taboos are definitive for fundamentalism
<b>Leadership</b>	Charismatic executive	Authoritarian	Instructional and exemplary	Hard-ball political	Dictatorial and manipulative
<b>Social Ethic</b>	Many are New Christian Right; some are beginning to focus upon structural injustices	Social engagement is a means of demonstrating personal care and gaining a hearing for the gospel	Social engagement is a means of demonstrating personal care and gaining a hearing for the gospel	Social engagement is a means of demonstrating personal care and gaining a hearing for the gospel	Social engagement is a means of demonstrating personal care and gaining a hearing for the gospel
<b>Fellowship and Separation</b>	Clear rejection of “secondary separation”; no fellowship or cooperation with unbelievers	Separation mostly means enforcing the taboos; contempt for those who are not “soul-winners”; no fellowship with unbelievers	No fellowship or cooperation with unbelievers; emphasize varying levels of fellowship and cooperation with believers	Tendency toward isolationism; suspicion of those outside the group; tendency to make fellowship “all or nothing”	No fellowship with unbelievers; tendency to castigate those who differ with the quirk

